

## Case Supervision Policy for Health Visitors, Public Health Nurses, School Nurses and Children's Nurses

**Date: May 2016** 

Version number: 1

Author: Clare Stiles, Team Leader (Child Health Team)

Review date: May 2019

If you would like this document in an alternative language or format, please contact Corporate Services on 01595743069.

## **Contents**

		Page No	
	Document Development Coversheet	3	
1.	Purpose	5	
2.	Introduction		
3.	. Supervision		
4.	. Definitions		
5.	. Background		
6.	. Roles and Responsibilities		
7.	. Prevention of terrorism		
8.	3. Cases for discussion		
9.	. Audit		
10	. References and Bibliography		
11	. Appendices		
	Appendix i Evaluation of case Supervision Appendix ii Record of Supervision Session Appendix iii Case Supervision Audit		

## NHS SHETLAND DOCUMENT DEVELOPMENT COVERSHEET\*

Name of document	Case Supervision Policy for Health Visitors, Public Health Nurses, School Nurses and Paediatric Nurses		
Registration Reference Number	CSPOL008	New □	Review ☑
Author	Clare Stiles		
<b>Executive Lead</b>	Kate Kenmure		

		Proposed groups	to present document to:	
Child Health Team			ANMAC	
Joint Govern	nance Group		Clinical Care and Professional Governance Committee	
Date	Version	Group	Reason	Outcome
16/02/2016	1	CHT	PO	PRO
04/04/2016	1	ANMAC	PO	PRO
03/05/2016	1	Joint Governance Group	C/S	PRO
17/05/2016	1	CCPGC	For final approval	Approved

Examples of reasons for presenting to the group	Examples of outcomes following meeting
Professional input required re: content (PI)	<ul> <li>Significant changes to content required – refer to Executive Lead for guidance (SC)</li> </ul>
Professional opinion on content (PO)	To amend content & re-submit to group (AC&R)
General comments/suggestions (C/S)	<ul> <li>For minor revisions (e.g. format/layout) – no need to re-submit to group (MR)</li> </ul>
For information only (FIO)	Recommend proceeding to next stage (PRO)

<sup>\*</sup>To be attached to the document under development/review and presented to the group Please record details of any changes made to the document on the back of this form

DATE	CHANGES MADE TO DOCUMENT

# This Document must be read in conjunction with NHS Shetland Clinical Supervision Policy

#### 1. Purpose

This document replaces the previous policy which is due for review. This refreshed policy must still be read in conjunction with NHS Shetland's Clinical Supervision Policy.

#### 2. Introduction

The Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) requires that Nurses, Midwives and Allied Health Professionals (NMAHPs) undertake Reflective Practice and/ or Clinical Supervision to support continuing professional development (CPD) and the renewal of their professional registration (NMC 2014). Increasingly both Reflective Practice and Clinical Supervision are recognised as supportive approaches for Nurses and Health Visitors to enhance the delivery of NHS Scotland's Quality Ambitions of safe, effective and person-centred care (Scottish Government 2010).

Reflective Practice and Clinical Supervision are seen as approaches to enable nurses to reflect on aspects or events within their practice; to examine what happened, what was good or bad about the experience, what else could have been done and what could be changed or improved in practice as a result of this learning (NES 2014a).

Potential benefits of participating in Reflective Practice or Clinical Supervision:

- Improved team communication
- Opportunity for staff voices to heard and valued
- Finding solutions through effective team work
- Enhanced person-centred experience for patients and staff
- Contribution to CPD evidence for KSF and NMC Revalidation

#### 3. Supervision

Supervision is a term used widely across health and social services and may describe quite different activities ranging from personal development through to management of staff, as well as case and clinical supervision. It can be performed individually or in groups and can be informal or formal. It can also focus on processes or outcomes and involve peers, students and line managers (Carpenter et al 2012). It is essential that staff understand the different functions and types of supervision available to them and this policy covers case supervision as distinct from other forms of supervision identified above. Case supervision therefore aims, to ensure that practitioners within the Child Health Team (CHT) are provided with an opportunity to reflect on practice and supported to provide the best possible response to children who are experiencing abuse or neglect or are vulnerable in any other way.

#### 4. Definitions

Case supervision is the review of identified vulnerable children within an individual practitioner's caseload. It is undertaken at regular timetabled intervals, normally every 4-6 weeks. It includes supervisee presentations of clients at different stages of their care pathway and/or who have particular clinical characteristics. It is structured to enable efficient support and effective decision making by practitioners and their supervisor. The first priority of case supervision is to enhance and safeguard the delivery of effective care for the client. However, although case supervision also strives to optimize outcomes for clients, there is little evidence that any model of supervision has had any significant impact on outcomes for children and families. What case supervision does do is emphasize learning from clinical work with a view to promote professional development (Carpenter et al 2012). This, hopefully, promotes more confidence in practitioners. Case supervision is not about performance management and ensuring that organizational procedures have been followed as this is dealt with under Capability Procedures.

#### 5. Background

Numerous serious case reviews have highlighted the need for effective case supervision to ensure safe, confident and competent practitioners. Research has also demonstrated that, by allowing practitioners the opportunity to reflect on their work in a positive, supportive environment, case supervision helps with the successful and consistent integration of processes into practice (Coventry LSBC 2013; Beach and Oates 2014).

NHS Shetland health professionals have had access to clinical supervision for some time and a need for more structured case supervision was recommended in the HMIE Report of 2009. This type of supervision includes a management responsibility to ensure safe practice.

#### 6. Roles and Responsibilities

The roles and responsibilities of both the supervisee and supervisor are:

#### 6.1 Supervisee

- (i) be proactive in the selection of cases that will enhance their knowledge and skills
- (ii) to arrange and participate in supervision sessions on a 4-6 weekly basis for one hour with their supervisor
- (iii) prepare for the session by identifying any issues for discussion and exploration
- (iv) implement any agreed actions and recommendations from supervision sessions
- (v) give and receive constructive feedback
- (vi) be active in pursuit of own professional development
- (vii) maintain an appropriate record of supervision within the case records

#### 6.2 Supervisor

- (i) Promote an open, supportive and respectful working relationship
- (ii) Ensure confidentiality except where the supervisee demonstrates poor or dangerous practice. This must be investigated under the NHS Shetland Capability Procedures with reference to the NMC code (2015).
- (iii) Give constructive feedback sensitive to their level of training and expertise
- (iv) Ensure environment is free from disturbance, quiet and private and that confidentiality is maintained unless an issue requires attention outside the supervision relationship such as safeguarding or unsafe practice.

#### 7. PREVENT Playing Our Part: Implementing the Prevent Strategy

The Counter-Terrorism and Security Act 2015 places a duty on the NHS, to have, "due regard to the need to prevent people from being drawn into terrorism", this also applies to the Police, Local Authorities and Further and Higher Education. CONTEST is the UK Government's counter-terrorism strategy of which The *Prevent* Strategy, is one strand. *Prevent*: to stop people becoming terrorists or supporting violent extremism.

We as NHS staff have valuable experience in protecting vulnerable people, and this places Health Boards in a key supportive position. All staff need a general awareness of *Prevent* and to feel ready to deal with concerns when they arise. Vigilance and early intervention, together with an understanding within the NHS of the risks and threats, is paramount.

#### Mitigation – Local Protocol

To mitigate the risks posed to NHS staff:

#### Staff training:

- 7.1 Ensure that all staff have access to training and information and an awareness of Prevent concerns through inclusion in induction/mandatory refresher/access to online resources web-link?
- 7.2 All staff should know how to raise a concern:
- Discuss in the first instance with line manager,
- Line manager's action will depend upon concern raised but will want out check out the concern and share appropriately.
- Sources of advice are NHS Shetland: wendy.hatrick@nhs.net, Public Health
   Specialist and janice.irvine@nhs.net, Advanced Practitioner (Protection)
- 7.3 Ensure front-line staff have access to suitable training and should know how to raise a professional concern in relation to a young person:
- Discuss a Prevent related concern with line manager/Child's named person or within case supervision session.
- Use Getting It Right For Every Child (GIRFEC) interagency screening meeting or make a child protection referral to the duty social worker

• Following an interagency discussion a plan will be formulated to assist chid or young person and their family

#### Venues Premises and Publicity

- 7.4 Ensuring that NHS owned facilities/buildings are not used for meetings/activities or for issuing propaganda that support radicalisation. In agreeing the use of Board facilities for meetings:
- Staff should understand the nature of the meeting and be alert to possible issues of a radical nature or behaviours likely to raise a concern.
- 7.5 Board sanctioned publicity via social media or web pages do not provide a platform for extremists/dissemination of extremist views

#### Policies and Procedures

- 7.6 Policy and Procedures which relate to Board business relevant to Prevent concerns will be updated to include this information relevant to the individual policy/procedure and practice, for example Child and Adult Protection procedures.
- 7.7 Any events / incidents and risks about PREVENT concerns should be recorded using the Board's risk register and adverse events procedure using DATIX.

We are all responsible for ensuring existing arrangements for protecting vulnerable adults and children, and use of NHS buildings and facilities, are adapted as necessary so that *Prevent* is mainstreamed and embedded into frontline healthcare services.

#### 8. Cases suitable for discussion

Cases taken to supervision by members of the CHT are chosen by the supervisee but would normally have been allocated "Additional" HPI (SG 2011).

- 8.1 supervision sessions will follow a structured format in which the supervisee will bring the records of cases to be discussed to the session.
- 8.2 Both the supervisor and supervisee will record a brief synopsis of the discussion and store this at the rear of the child's records.
- 8.3 An evaluation form including specific concerns, a critical analysis of the management of the case and an action plan for future use, will be completed and retained by the supervisee (Appendix i).
- 8.4 A record of supervision sessions will be completed and retained by the supervisor (Appendix ii).
- 8.5 If during a supervision session, it becomes evident that serious misconduct has arisen, the supervisor will end the session and report her concerns to the Child and Family Health Manager. The supervisor will also notify the supervisee's line manager informing them of the concerns and allowing them to take appropriate action. In line with HR policy, the outcome of any investigation will be shared with the supervisee.

#### 9. Audit

Audit of supervision processes (Appendix iii) will be held at least on an annual basis and the results communicated to the Family Health Manager.

### References and Bibliography

Beach J and Oates J 2014: *Information governance and record keeping in community practice.* Community Practitioner, Vol. 87, Iss.2.

Carpenter J et al 2012: *Effective supervision in social work and social care.* Research Briefing 43; Social Care Institute for Excellence

Cm 5730 2003: The Victoria Climbié Inquiry, London, The Stationery Office.

Coventry LSCB 2013: Final Overview Report of Serious Case Review re Daniel Pelka; September

Fox J 2014: The overview report into a serious case review of the circumstances concerning Child I – Kieran Lloyd. Northamptonshire: Northamptonshire Safeguarding Children Board

Jutte S et al 2014: How safe are our children?: London: NSPCC

Llewellyn H 2012: Significant case review report: Baby Alexis Matheson: born 27<sup>th</sup> October 2007: died 9<sup>th</sup> December 2007: aged six weeks and three days (executive summary). (Grampian): Northern Scotland Child Protection Committee

NHS Education for Scotland 2014a

http://www.effectivepractitioner.nes.scot.nhs.uk/media/254837/learning%20in%20the%20workplace.pdf accessed 29.11.14

NHS Education for Scotland

2014bhttp://www.careerframework.nes.scot.nhs.uk/media/43023/definitions\_of\_supervision\_ 1\_.docx\_accessed 29.11.14

Nursing and Midwifery Council 2015: The Code, London: NMC

Nursing and Midwifery Council 2015 http://www.nmc-uk.org/Nurses-and-midwives/Revalidation/ accessed 20.01.15

NSPCC 2013: Learning from case reviews around child sexual exploitation <a href="http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/resourcesforprofessionals/scrs/briefing-sexual-exploitation">http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/resourcesforprofessionals/scrs/briefing-sexual-exploitation</a> accessed 21.04.2014

NSPCC 2014: Child D: a serious case review (S.I.): NSPCC on behalf of an unnamed local safeguarding children board

NSPCC 2014: Child F serious case review overview report: services provided for Child F and G and members of their family from January 2009 – October 2011. (S.I.) NSPCC of behalf of an unnamed local safeguarding children board

Pembrokeshire 2014: *Serious case review in respect of Child M: executive summary.* Pembrokeshire: Pembrokeshire Safeguarding Children Board

Scottish Government 2011: A New Look at Hall 4 – the early years – Good Health for Every Child Edinburgh: Scottish Government

Scottish Government, 2010. The Healthcare Quality Strategy for NHSScotland. <a href="http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2010/05/10102307/0">http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2010/05/10102307/0</a>

Wonnacott J and Watts D 2014: *Daniel Pelka review: retrospective deeper analysis and progress report on implementation of recommendations*. Coventry: Coventry Safeguarding Children Board

## **Evaluation of Case Supervision**

To be completed and retained by Supervisee
Date of supervision session:
Synopsis of case review including CHI numbers:
Expressed concerns (including action I have taken):
Critical evaluation of involvement (what I have learned):
Future Actions (what I would do differently including identifying learning needs):
Signature of Supervisee and date:

## **Record of Supervision Sessions**

## Appendix ii

## (To be completed and retained by Supervisor)

Date	Case Discussed	Future Actions	Date of Next Supervision
I	I		l

## **Case Supervision Audit**

General

## Appendix iii

To ensure that a high quality of case supervision takes place within NHS Shetland, we would appreciate it if you could answer the following questions. Please tick the most appropriate box for each question. All questionnaires are confidential and anonymous.

Q1	Grade				
□ HCS	SW	□ Band 5	□ Band 6	□ Band 7	
Q2	Have y	ou read the	Case Supervis	sion Policy?	
□ Yes		□No (please	go to Q4)		
Q3 a)	Did you	ı find the Cas	se Supervisior	n Policy easy to read?	
□Yes		□ No			
b) Do	you un	derstand the	content of Ca	ase Supervision Policy?	
□ Yes		□ No			
c) Doe	es the C	ase Supervis	sion Policy co	ntain all the information you	need?
□ Yes		□ No			
d) Do	you fin	d the Case S	upervision Po	licy helpful?	
□ Yes		□ No			
Sessio	ons				
Q4	How o	ften are your	case supervi	sion sessions usually held?	Every weeks
Q5 held?	On the	whole, are y	ou satisfied v	vith how often your supervisi	on sessions are
□ No, I	held too	often 🗆 Yes	s, right amount	□ no, not held often enough	

Q6 superv	Which of the environmental aspects are usually adhered to during your vision session?
□ Free	from disturbance
Q7	Do you think your case supervision sessions are confidential?
□ Yes	□ No
Q8	Do you make a record of your supervision session?
□ Yes	□ No
Q9	As a supervisee, do you feel able to give honest feedback to your supervisor?
□ Yes	□ No
Qualit	y
Q10	How beneficial do you find your supervision session?
□ Very	beneficial   Beneficial   Slightly beneficial   Not beneficial
Q11	Does the supervision cover the following areas? (Please tick all that apply)
□ Supp	oort   Professional responsibilities   Career developments
□ Refle	ection on clinical practice   Regular feedback
Q12 below	If you have any other comments regarding case supervision, please write them
Please	e send your completed questionnaire to:
Clare	Stiles, Team Leader, Child Health, Gilbert Bain Hospital.